

---

# Person $\neq$ speech-act participants

Kees de Schepper

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees
    - ▶ “Kids, did you two enjoy yourselves?”

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees
    - ▶ “Kids, did you two enjoy yourselves?”
  - ▶ *You two* as 1 addressee + 1 non-addressee

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees
    - ▶ “Kids, did you two enjoy yourselves?”
  - ▶ *You two* as 1 addressee + 1 non-addressee
    - ▶ “We had a fun time, Bob, did you two enjoy yourselves too?”

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees
    - ▶ “Kids, did you two enjoy yourselves?”
  - ▶ *You two* as 1 addressee + 1 non-addressee
    - ▶ “We had a fun time, Bob, did you two enjoy yourselves too?”
  - ▶ Definition: ‘Group with 1 or more addressees’

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees
    - ▶ “Kids, did you two enjoy yourselves?”
  - ▶ *You two* as 1 addressee + 1 non-addressee
    - ▶ “We had a fun time, Bob, did you two enjoy yourselves too?”
  - ▶ Definition: ‘Group with 1 or more addressees’
  - ▶ Indirect encoding of Speech-Act Participants (SAPs)



## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees
    - ▶ “Kids, did you two enjoy yourselves?”
  - ▶ *You two* as 1 addressee + 1 non-addressee
    - ▶ “We had a fun time, Bob, did you two enjoy yourselves too?”
  - ▶ Definition: ‘Group with 1 or more addressees’
  - ▶ Indirect encoding of Speech-Act Participants (SAPs)
    - ▶ SAPs: S (speaker), A (addressee), O (other)

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees
    - ▶ “Kids, did you two enjoy yourselves?”
  - ▶ *You two* as 1 addressee + 1 non-addressee
    - ▶ “We had a fun time, Bob, did you two enjoy yourselves too?”
  - ▶ Definition: ‘Group with 1 or more addressees’
  - ▶ Indirect encoding of Speech-Act Participants (SAPs)
    - ▶ SAPs: S (speaker), A (addressee), O (other)
  - ▶ Indirect encoding = person

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees
    - ▶ “Kids, did you two enjoy yourselves?”
  - ▶ *You two* as 1 addressee + 1 non-addressee
    - ▶ “We had a fun time, Bob, did you two enjoy yourselves too?”
  - ▶ Definition: ‘Group with 1 or more addressees’
  - ▶ Indirect encoding of Speech-Act Participants (SAPs)
    - ▶ SAPs: S (speaker), A (addressee), O (other)
  - ▶ Indirect encoding = person
- ▶ Definition of the vocative

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees
    - ▶ “Kids, did you two enjoy yourselves?”
  - ▶ *You two* as 1 addressee + 1 non-addressee
    - ▶ “We had a fun time, Bob, did you two enjoy yourselves too?”
  - ▶ Definition: ‘Group with 1 or more addressees’
  - ▶ Indirect encoding of Speech-Act Participants (SAPs)
    - ▶ SAPs: S (speaker), A (addressee), O (other)
  - ▶ Indirect encoding = person
- ▶ Definition of the vocative
  - ▶ Only As allowed

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees
    - ▶ “Kids, did you two enjoy yourselves?”
  - ▶ *You two* as 1 addressee + 1 non-addressee
    - ▶ “We had a fun time, Bob, did you two enjoy yourselves too?”
  - ▶ Definition: ‘Group with 1 or more addressees’
  - ▶ Indirect encoding of Speech-Act Participants (SAPs)
    - ▶ SAPs: S (speaker), A (addressee), O (other)
  - ▶ Indirect encoding = person
- ▶ Definition of the vocative
  - ▶ Only As allowed
    - ▶ “Did I win, guys?”

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees
    - ▶ “Kids, did you two enjoy yourselves?”
  - ▶ *You two* as 1 addressee + 1 non-addressee
    - ▶ “We had a fun time, Bob, did you two enjoy yourselves too?”
  - ▶ Definition: ‘Group with 1 or more addressees’
  - ▶ Indirect encoding of Speech-Act Participants (SAPs)
    - ▶ SAPs: S (speaker), A (addressee), O (other)
  - ▶ Indirect encoding = person
- ▶ Definition of the vocative
  - ▶ Only As allowed
    - ▶ “Did I win, guys?”
  - ▶ Vocatives  $\neq$  person

## The issue

- ▶ Definition of *you*
  - ▶ *You two* as 2 addressees
    - ▶ “Kids, did you two enjoy yourselves?”
  - ▶ *You two* as 1 addressee + 1 non-addressee
    - ▶ “We had a fun time, Bob, did you two enjoy yourselves too?”
  - ▶ Definition: ‘Group with 1 or more addressees’
  - ▶ Indirect encoding of Speech-Act Participants (SAPs)
    - ▶ SAPs: S (speaker), A (addressee), O (other)
  - ▶ Indirect encoding = person
- ▶ Definition of the vocative
  - ▶ Only As allowed
    - ▶ “Did I win, guys?”
  - ▶ Vocatives  $\neq$  person
  - ▶ Direct encoding of SAPs instead

## Demonstratives

- ▶ *Here vs. there*



## Demonstratives

- ▶ *Here vs. there*
- ▶ About person or SAPs? → look at PL

## Demonstratives


- ▶ *Here vs. there*
- ▶ About person or SAPs? → look at PL
  - ▶ person: S+O demonstrative possible

## Demonstratives

- ▶ *Here vs. there*
- ▶ About person or SAPs? → look at PL
  - ▶ person: S+O demonstrative possible
  - ▶ SAPs: S+O demonstrative impossible


## Demonstratives

- ▶ *Here vs. there*
- ▶ About person or SAPs? → look at PL
  - ▶ person: S+O demonstrative possible
  - ▶ SAPs: S+O demonstrative impossible
- ▶ “Is there a power outlet over there?”



## Demonstratives


- ▶ *Here vs. there*
- ▶ About person or SAPs? → look at PL
  - ▶ person: S+O demonstrative possible
  - ▶ SAPs: S+O demonstrative impossible
- ▶ “Is there a power outlet over there?”



- ▶ [S=SBJ & S=here] “I don’t have one here”

## Demonstratives


- ▶ *Here vs. there*
- ▶ About person or SAPs? → look at PL
  - ▶ person: S+O demonstrative possible
  - ▶ SAPs: S+O demonstrative impossible
- ▶ “Is there a power outlet over there?”



- ▶ [S=SBJ & S=here] “I don’t have one here”
- ▶ [S+O=SBJ & S+O=here] “We have one here”

## Demonstratives


- ▶ *Here vs. there*
- ▶ About person or SAPs? → look at PL
  - ▶ person: S+O demonstrative possible
  - ▶ SAPs: S+O demonstrative impossible
- ▶ “Is there a power outlet over there?”



- ▶ [S=SBJ & S=here] “I don’t have one here”
- ▶ [S+O=SBJ & S+O=here] “We have one here”
- ▶ [S=SBJ & S+O=here] “#I have one here”

## Demonstratives

- ▶ *Here vs. there*
- ▶ About person or SAPs? → look at PL
  - ▶ person: S+O demonstrative possible
  - ▶ SAPs: S+O demonstrative impossible
- ▶ “Is there a power outlet over there?”




- ▶ [S=SBJ & S=here] “I don’t have one here”
- ▶ [S+O=SBJ & S+O=here] “We have one here”
- ▶ [S=SBJ & S+O=here] “#I have one here”
- ▶ [S+O=SBJ & S=here] “#We don’t have one here”



## Demonstratives

- ▶ *Here vs. there*
- ▶ About person or SAPs? → look at PL
  - ▶ person: S+O demonstrative possible
  - ▶ SAPs: S+O demonstrative impossible
- ▶ “Is there a power outlet over there?”



- ▶ [S=SBJ & S=here] “I don’t have one here”
  - ▶ [S+O=SBJ & S+O=here] “We have one here”
  - ▶ [S=SBJ & S+O=here] “#I have one here”
  - ▶ [S+O=SBJ & S=here] “#We don’t have one here”
- ▶ S+O demonstrative possible → demonstratives = person

## Cross-linguistic patterns

- ▶ Demonstratives allow variation

## Cross-linguistic patterns

- ▶ Demonstratives allow variation
  - ▶ no distinction (German *dieser*)

## Cross-linguistic patterns

- ▶ Demonstratives allow variation
  - ▶ no distinction (German *dieser*)
  - ▶ 1/non-1 distinction (Dutch *dit/dat*)

## Cross-linguistic patterns

- ▶ Demonstratives allow variation
  - ▶ no distinction (German *dieser*)
  - ▶ 1/non-1 distinction (Dutch *dit/dat*)
  - ▶ 1/2/3 distinction (Japanese *kore/sore/are*)

## Cross-linguistic patterns

- ▶ Demonstratives allow variation
  - ▶ no distinction (German *dieser*)
  - ▶ 1/non-1 distinction (Dutch *dit/dat*)
  - ▶ 1/2/3 distinction (Japanese *kore/sore/are*)
- ▶ Person patterns

## Cross-linguistic patterns

- ▶ Demonstratives allow variation
  - ▶ no distinction (German *dieser*)
  - ▶ 1/non-1 distinction (Dutch *dit/dat*)
  - ▶ 1/2/3 distinction (Japanese *kore/sore/are*)
- ▶ Person patterns
  - ▶ Variation between languages allowed

## Cross-linguistic patterns

- ▶ Demonstratives allow variation
  - ▶ no distinction (German *dieser*)
  - ▶ 1/non-1 distinction (Dutch *dit/dat*)
  - ▶ 1/2/3 distinction (Japanese *kore/sore/are*)
- ▶ Person patterns
  - ▶ Variation between languages allowed
  - ▶ = person hierarchies



## Cross-linguistic patterns

- ▶ Demonstratives allow variation
  - ▶ no distinction (German *dieser*)
  - ▶ 1/non-1 distinction (Dutch *dit/dat*)
  - ▶ 1/2/3 distinction (Japanese *kore/sore/are*)
- ▶ Person patterns
  - ▶ Variation between languages allowed
  - ▶ = person hierarchies
- ▶ SAP patterns

## Cross-linguistic patterns

- ▶ Demonstratives allow variation
  - ▶ no distinction (German *dieser*)
  - ▶ 1/non-1 distinction (Dutch *dit/dat*)
  - ▶ 1/2/3 distinction (Japanese *kore/sore/are*)
- ▶ Person patterns
  - ▶ Variation between languages allowed
  - ▶ = person hierarchies
- ▶ SAP patterns
  - ▶ Universal

## Cross-linguistic patterns

- ▶ Demonstratives allow variation
  - ▶ no distinction (German *dieser*)
  - ▶ 1/non-1 distinction (Dutch *dit/dat*)
  - ▶ 1/2/3 distinction (Japanese *kore/sore/are*)
- ▶ Person patterns
  - ▶ Variation between languages allowed
  - ▶ = person hierarchies
- ▶ SAP patterns
  - ▶ Universal
  - ▶ Why?

## Conclusion

- ▶ Difference between person and SAPs

## Conclusion

- ▶ Difference between person and SAPs
- ▶ Only visible in PL

## Conclusion

- ▶ Difference between person and SAPs
- ▶ Only visible in PL
- ▶ Cross-linguistic variation: person=yes, SAPs=no

## Conclusion

- ▶ Difference between person and SAPs
- ▶ Only visible in PL
- ▶ Cross-linguistic variation: person=yes, SAPs=no
- ▶ Demonstratives involve person

## Pattern overview

- ▶ Person patterns
  - ▶ Subject sensory/inferential/quotative evidentiality predicate  
(2,3 > 1)
  - ▶ Agent/patient marking  
(3 > 2 > 1)
  - ▶ Imperative  
(2 > incl > 3 > 1)
  - ▶ Demonstratives  
(1 > 2,3)
- ▶ SAP patterns
  - ▶ Source performative/sensory/inferential evidentiality  
(only S)
  - ▶ One per utterance  
(only S)
  - ▶ Vocative-like construction  
(only A)
  - ▶ Shifting constraints  
(only S & A)